

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	. Г	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,995 08/23/2001		08/23/2001	Richard E. Smalley	11321-P014US	1538
47744	7590	10/02/2006		EXAMINER	
		SARSSON	LE, HOA T		
WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C. P. O. BOX 50784				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS,	TX 7520	1	1773		
				DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/935,995	SMALLEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	H. T. Le	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-38,41,42,45-59 and 61-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) <u>36-38,41,42,45-59 and 61-64</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to:	r election requirement						
are despect to recall and a same							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte					

Application/Control Number: 09/935,995 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 31, 2006 has been entered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 36-38, 41, 42, 45-59, 61-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not described how poly(methyl methacrylate-co-ethyl acrylate), polyvinyl alcohol, polyethylene glycol, and polyallyl amine can be successfully wrapped on aggregates of SWNT. According to an article authored by Applicant (submitted for publication just 7 months before the filing date of the present

application), such polymers have not been found successful in wrapping aggregates of SWNT. See the article by Connell et al., "Reversible water-solubilization of single-walled carbon nanotubes by polymer wrapping", page 269, left column, third paragraph, last four lines.* In addition, the two provisional applications that have been claimed as the priority documents of the present application do not disclose poly(methyl methacrylate-co-ethyl acrylate), polyvinyl alcohol, polyethylene glycol, and polyallyl amine as the coating/wrapping polymers.

5. Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification as originally filed does not provide support for <u>uncoated</u> single-wall carbon nanotubes that are substantially <u>aligned</u> along their longitudinal axes. As disclosed in the original specification, particularly page 13, lines 19-26; page 17, lines 11-18; and page 19, lines 23-27, uncoated carbon nanotubes form ropes or bundles, i.e. longitudinally non-aligned. The only way to align them longitudinally is to coat (or wrap) <u>individual</u> carbon nanotubes with a polymer; that is, the only carbon nanotubes that are aligned along their longitudinal axes are nanotubes that have been individually polymer-coated or -wrapped. See specification, page 17, lines 3-10. Therefore, claims 37 and 38, which recite uncoated

^{*} Cited and copy provided by Applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,995 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

nanotubes that are substantially longitudinally aligned, are deemed broader than the enabling scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- 7. Claims 36-38, 41, 42, 45-59, and 61-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) as being disputed by Applicant's own publication: "Reversible water-solubilization of single-walled carbon nanotubes by polymer wrapping".*

This article was submitted for publication on January 2001 (about seven months before the filing date of the present application). According to this article at page 269, left column, third paragraph, last four lines, coatings of one of poly(methyl methacrylate-co-ethyl acrylate), polyvinyl alcohol, polyethylene glycol, and polyallyl amine on aggregates of SWNT have been unsuccessful. There is no evidence that Applicant had managed to overcome such limitation at the time this application was filed. Thus, Applicant did not invent the claimed composition.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

^{*} Cited by and copy provided by applicant

Application/Control Number: 09/935,995 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

September 27, 2006